PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/007320 04.07.2003 05.07.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65D33/30, B65D77/00 **Applicant** CFS WEERT B.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer**



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nt

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Mans-Kamerbeek, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3969



Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

ST AVAILABLE COP'

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007320

| | Box No. I Basis of the opinion | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1. | With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | |
| | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). | | | |
| 2. | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | |
| | a. type of material: | | | |
| | a sequence listing | | | |
| | ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing | | | |
| | b. format of material: | | | |
| | ☐ in written format | | | |
| | ☐ in computer readable form | | | |
| | c. time of filing/furnishing: | | | |
| | ☐ contained in the international application as filed. | | | |
| | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. | | | |
| | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | | |
| 3. | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. | | | |
| 4. | . Additional comments: | | | |

| - | Box | k No. II | Priority | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| 1. | × | The fo | lowing document has not been furnished: | |
| | | \boxtimes | copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). | |
| | | | translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). | |
| | | Conse | quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. | |
| 2. | This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. | | | |
| 3. | The International Searching Authority has not been able to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed was not available to the International Searching Authority at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. | | | |
| 4. | Add | ditional | observations, if necessary: | |
| | | | | |
| _ | - | - N- IV | | |
| - | | x No. IV | | |
| 1. | \boxtimes | In resp | conse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has: | |
| | | \boxtimes | paid additional fees. | |
| | | | paid additional fees under protest. | |
| • | | | not paid additional fees. | |
| 2. | . This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees. | | | |
| 3. | Thi | s Autho | rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is | |
| | □ complied with | | | |
| □ not complied with for the following reasons: | | | plied with for the following reasons: | |
| | | | eparate sheet | |
| 1 | Co | | ntly, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: | |
| 4. | all parts. | | | |
| | | · | | |
| | L | me pan | s relating to claims Nos. | |
| | | | | |

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

7-10,12

No: Claims

1-6,11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

8

No: Claims

1-7,9-11,12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-12

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

7-10,12

No:

Claims

1-6,11

Inventive step (IS)

No:

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-7,9-11,12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/007320

Re Item IV.

The application relates to a plurality of inventions, in the sense of Rule 13.1 PCT. The separate inventions are:

claim 1 + (2-6, 11)
Package with closing means

claim 1 + (7-10, 12) package with tray

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is already known (see Item V). The requisite unity of invention (Rule 13.1 PCT) therefore no longer exists inasmuch as a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT does not exist between the subject-matter of the following groups of dependent claims:

claims 2-6, 11

- potential special technical features relating to: closing means in the form of a deformable strip of material, able to be folded repeatedly, sealed to a bag-forming plastic film
- problem to be solved: providing means to easily re-close and re-open a flexible bag

claims 7-10, 12

- potential special technical features relating to: a tray inside a bag-forming plastic film
- Problem to be solved: providing means to facilitate the removal of goods from a flexible bag, as well as providing stabilizing means for a flexible bag

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: GB 994533 A (HAMMER AS PAPIRINDUSTRI S) 10 June 1965 (1965-06-10)

D2: US-A-3 618 850 (PALMER CHARLES E) 9 November 1971 (1971-11-09)

D3: EP-A-0 071 829 (BENTZ & SOHN MELITTA) 16 February 1983 (1983-02-16)

D4: DE 201 04 618 U1 (INTERSNACK KNABBER-GEBAECK GMBH & CO. KG) 13 June 2001 (2001-06-13)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a package with a plastically deformable tape, sealed to the film in the opening area as claimed in claim 1.

Also, documents D2 and D3 disclose a similar package.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-7, 9-12

Dependent claims 2-7, 9-12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). See for example D1 (claims 1-6, 11) and D4 (claims 7, 9-11 and 12).

DEPENDENT CLAIM 8

4

The combination of the features of dependent claim 8 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.